UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v. Case No. 8:03-CR-77-T-30TBM

HATEM NAJI FARIZ

PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTION AS TO CONTINUING OFFENSES

Defendant, Hatem Naji Fariz, by and through undersigned counsel, hereby respectfully submits a proposed jury instruction to address continuing offenses. The following language is proposed as a template for each occurrence in the jury instructions where effective dates are an issue. The language may therefore need to be amended to address the specific counts, as noted below.

Continuing Conspiracies (Counts 2, 3, and 4)

The offense of conspiracy to [describe offense], in violation of [statute], did not become a crime until [date], when the [statute was enacted] [designation was made]. Therefore, prior to that date, such an agreement or conduct was not illegal, proscribed, or prohibited, under [statute].

If, however, you find that the Defendant entered into an agreement prior to [date] that would constitute the offense after [date], you can only find the Defendant guilty of this offense if you unanimously determine, beyond a reasonable doubt, that:

First: The Defendant continued to engage in that agreement after [date], and

Second: An overt act was knowingly committed after [date] to further the

purposes of the conspiracy.

RICO Racketeering Activity (Count One)

The offense of [describe offense] did not become a RICO racketeering activity until [date]. Therefore, prior to that date, such an agreement or conduct was not illegal, proscribed, or prohibited as a RICO racketeering activity.

If, however, you find that the Defendant had joined the RICO conspiracy and agreed, prior to [date], that a RICO conspiracy member would engage in conduct that would constitute the offense of [describe offense] after [date], you can only find the Defendant guilty of this offense if you unanimously determine, beyond a reasonable doubt, that:

First: The Defendant continued to engage in the agreement that a RICO

conspiracy member would engage in conduct that would constitute

[the offense] after [date], and

Second: An overt act was knowingly committed after [date] to further the

purposes of the conspiracy.

Conclusion

Mr. Fariz respectfully submits the foregoing proposed jury instruction to address continuing offenses.

Respectfully submitted,

R. FLETCHER PEACOCK FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER

/s/ M. Allison Guagliardo

M. Allison Guagliardo Florida Bar No. 0800031 Assistant Federal Public Defender 400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2700 Tampa, Florida 33602

Telephone: 813-228-2715 Facsimile: 813-228-2562 Attorney for Defendant Fariz **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 4th day of October 2005, a true and correct copy

of the foregoing has been furnished by CM/ECF, to Walter Furr, Assistant United States

Attorney; Terry Zitek, Assistant United States Attorney; Cherie L. Krigsman, Trial Attorney,

U.S. Department of Justice; Alexis L. Collins, Trial Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice;

William Moffitt and Linda Moreno, counsel for Sami Amin Al-Arian; Bruce Howie, counsel

for Ghassan Ballut; and to Stephen N. Bernstein, counsel for Sameeh Hammoudeh.

/s/ M. Allison Guagliardo

M. Allison Guagliardo

Assistant Federal Public Defender

4